



Undergraduate Student Government
The University of Akron

Undergraduate Student Government

Senate Agenda

November 20th, 2025

- I. **Call to Order**
- II. **Roll Call**
- III. **Pledge of Allegiance**
- IV. **Adoption of the Agenda**

Senate Chair Kasik: Now moving on to the adoption of the agenda, Senator Spears.

Senator Spears: Motion to amend the agenda to strike the Advisor Report and the Committee Reports.

Senator Shelton: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of striking the advisor and committee reports from the agenda, please say aye.

Motion to amend the agenda to strike the Advisor Report and the Committee Reports passed:

The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Prough.

Senator Prough: Motion to amend SR 03 through 06-25-26, to add the resolution number to the second page of each and add the date introduced date to each.

Senate Chair Kasik: Is there a second?

Senate Spears: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of, uh, fixing, uh, not fixing. Uh, fixing the editing of the resolutions. please say aye.

Motion to amend SR 03 through 06-25-26, to add the resolution number to the second page of each and add the date introduced date to each passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Shelton.

Senator Shelton: Motion to strike committee reports and advisory report.

Chief Justice Stillion: Point of order. That has already been made.

Senator Shelton: Oh, Sorry.

Senate Chair Kasik: All right. So, we move on now to the approval of the 11.13.25 minutes.

Senator Spears: Motion to adopt the agenda?

Senate Chair Kasik: Uh, that's right.

Senator Shelton: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of adopting the agenda, please say aye.

Motion to adopt the agenda passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: All right, now on to the approval of the 11.13.25 minutes.

V. Approval of the 11.13.25 Minutes

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Spears.

Senator Spears: Motion to approve the 11.13.25 Minutes.

Senator Sterns: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of approving the 11.13.25 Minutes, please say aye.

Motion to approve the 11.13.25 Minutes passed. The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Now onto Open Student Expression.

VI. Open Student Expression

Senate Chair Kasik: Does anyone have anything that they would like to share?

Chief Justice Stillion: Go to Robsgiving. Point of order. Speaking out of term.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Shelton.

Senator Shelton: Guys, does anybody off the top of their head know when the next F.A.S.T. tour is? You would be my favorite. President Shaw?

President Shaw: Is it the 24th?

Senator Shelton: It is the 24th! Guys it the 24th, 5pm to 6pm. President Shaw is my favorite now. o, let's all internalize that into ourselves. Remember the 25th, 24th, from 5pm to 6pm. Please, please, please go. I'll be so sad if you guys aren't there.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Moore.

Senator Moore: If it's easier to remember, guys, it's Tuesday, 'cause I don't remember number days. So, Tuesday.

Director Damson: The 24th is Monday.

Senator Moore: We're not doing it Tuesday it's Monday.

Director Damson: Okay..

Senator Shelton: 25th.

Senator Moore: I meant the 25th sorry.

Director Damson: Ohh, all right.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Spears.

Senator Spears: Um, soccer team did so well that they got a really good placement in the NCAA tournament. We will host the winner of the game that starts in 55 minutes between the Michigan Wolverines and the Notre Dame Fighting Irish. So, we will host, whomever wins that game, on

Sunday at one p.m. It supposed to be a really nice day. Supposed to be 55 and sunny, coming out, enjoy some soccer. First 100 students get in for free with the ZIP cards, a little different with the NCAA rules, but, um, come up and show out. Go Zips.

Senate Chair Kasik: All right. Anybody else? Yes, Director Damson.

Director Damson: The Francophone Student Association, FSA, is collaborating with ASA, African Student Association, Black Excellence Commission, and UA Latinos is hosting a big big event. I talked about it last week. It's tomorrow 5 PM in the student rec. There will be line dancing, food, a hide and seek game with prizes. And if we finish early, there is a meeting over there with a bunch of engineering networking like across the road.

Senate Chair Kasik: Anybody else? All right, uh, since we have, uh, we are skipping the Advisor Report for this week, we'll jump right into the branch reports. Starting with the LB report.

VII. Branch Reports

- Legislative Branch Report

Senate Chair Kasik

Senate Chair Kasik: So, we still have our one vacancy for the College of Business Senator. We did do an interview this week and where we will be moving forward with that. We'll see if we'll get any more applicants over the weekend or anything. End of semester review sessions are this week. Some of them, half of them have already incurred today and the next half will occur tomorrow, Friday. The meetings are downstairs in the student life office. Uh, not up here in the USG office, and, uh, when you get there, you just let the guy in the front desk now, then you're there for USG, and he'll send you back. It's also on my email that I sent out. Uh, but, uh, yeah, so those went pretty well so far this week. We are still looking for guest speakers for next semester. If anybody has any recommendations, please reach out, or any other plans, or anything else you'd like to see us do for next our next meeting. So, uh, just for us know. If office hours have

changed, once again, please change them on the form. And remember, for the mid-year submissions, and... make sure to keep all your folders and, uh, tracking documents up to date.

Yes?

Chief of Staff Kelly: Point of personal privilege. Is the plaquer box up there?

Senate Chair Kasik: I think it's up there. Any questions? All right well now be moving onto the JB Branch Report given by Chief Justice Stillion.

- Judicial Branch Report

Chief Justice Stillion

Chief Justice Stillion: Um, so, working into the wee hours of the night, 8pm, uh, we did amend and pass the election rules within the Judicial Branch on Monday, which hopefully will be presented to you today in a rather brisk manner, but they're very important, so please do try to pay attention to that and ask if you have any questions. Some larger changes that we'll be talking about, but we'll get to that. We are also continuing to plan for the spring semester in terms of scheduling when our judicial branch meetings will be. Um, and about a lot of the events we're going to be working on in terms of the spring election cycle, because that's really what we're going to focus on for the majority of the spring semester. But for the rest of this semester, we are finalizing starting and finalizing our semester reviews, which will be occurring on all on December 1st because we're really cool. We can do them all in one day. And then finalizing our records coordination tasks for this semester. So if any of you are just holding onto some minutes and agendas that you haven't uploaded to the teams for whatever reason, do that, or we will send you an email. It won't be a happy email, but, um, any questions about any of that? Okay. I don't know. Let's see if the meme works. It did. Here we go.

Meme:



Senate Chair Kasik: All right. Thank you Chief Justice Stillion. We will now be moving on to the Executive Branch Report given by President Shaw.

- Executive Branch Report

President Shaw

President Shaw: That was a good one. Hey guys, very short report this week. We've been very busy this week. Um, so, of course, reminders, all-USG email, find my hidden question. We still have that, NPHC, Greek life liaison, vacant position. Um, and then mid-year award submissions. Last day to submit is next Wednesday, the 26th. Um, so please nominate yourselves, your fellow USG members, um, for those awards. DLT, will be looking at that that following Monday, the first. And then those will be presented at the USG Holiday Party, which great segue. The only upcoming event that we have for the rest of the semester is the holiday party. Um, so that will be Thursday, December 4th, um, from 7pm to 9pm. So after all USG Senate, Um, and please let branch leadership know if you will not be able to attend. Um, there we will have some food, um,

have different games. Of course, the midyear awards, um, presentation and, um, different things like that. So, we were very busy with events this week, though. That's why there's not really a lot up there. Um, we had the Pride Flag Dedication Ceremony on Monday. The Trans Remembrance Day event on Tuesday, and the cultural night event yesterday. So very busy week for EB. But, um, thank you all if you came to those events. Really appreciate it. They all went pretty well. Um, and a lot of our committees, or not committees, our teams are in the works for planning for next semester. So, yeah, anybody have any questions? No. Okay, thank you.

Senate Chair Kasik: Thank you, President Shaw. We will now be moving on to the Finance Report given by Finance Director Damson.

- Finance Report

Director Damson

Director Damson: Hello, everyone. I know I was not dressed like this earlier. Completely not related to the finance report, but it was casual, but I planned this outfit today, so I still had to wear it. Okay, so very short finance report this week. So we just have one travel, um, request from the upstream 2026 convention, and we had an expense from last, last, um, USG academic year that we had to also pay for. But yeah, that's all we have for this week. Any questions? Okay, thank you.

Senate Chair Kasik: Thank you. We will now be moving to the DLT Report given by Vice Senate Chair McCracken.

- DLT Report

Vice Senate Chair McCracken

Vice Senate Chair McCracken: Hey, guys. Um, so, a reminder, submit shout outs and midyear awards, uh, meeting recap, uh, the bonding activity. We did what fictional character would you want as your best friend? That was pretty fun. Funny answers up there. For executive branch updates, the USG shirts have been ordered. The pride flag dedication ceremony happened

Monday, transgender remembrance day with LGBTUA happened Tuesday. Cultural night happened Wednesday. End of semester review sessions were sent out. Um, President Shaw and Vice President Tsige met with Dr. Messina and President Nemer. They onboarded the new IFC liaison. There's wonderful OSGS updates with the T-shirts and block booking. And then we talked about finances. For legislative branch updates we talked about casual Senate and all of the wonderful things going on that we already talked about. And we talked about the spring calendar. Judicial Branch, we talked about that vacancy and the application. They were working on election rules, presented today, working on working with source for approving new student orgs, working with the Department of Student Conduct during regular meetings, to serve on conduct hearing boards, semester reviews and mini holiday party, which is fun, and then they completed the October newsletter. Other than that, we are setting up our individual meetings for next semester. We talked a lot about end of semester reviews. The USG holiday party is finalized. So please go to that and let us know if you can't go to that. Um, and then the DLT winter retreat date was finalized. Upcoming events. We talked about a lot of these already. Some of these already happened. All USG meeting is 2 weeks from now and the USG holiday parties 2 weeks from now. Anything else? No? Okay, that's all.

Senate Chair Kasik: Well now be moving onto... we are skipping committee reports... moving onto New Business starting with the Spring Election Rules Presentation.

VIII. New Business

- Spring Election Rules Presentation Chief Justice Stillion

Chief Justice Stillion: It's me again.. I brought my Bluetooth mouse so I don't have to keep turning. First. Um, so this is not anything new. Every semester, we have to present the election rules. Um, amended to the body of USG, which is one of those, it's one of those weird things

where we present it to, and we have to present at a Senate meeting, but everyone in attendance at the Senate meeting gets to Vote. So that's why we aren't voting on it today. We're voting on it hopefully on December 4th at an all USG. So we'll be able to get input from not just the senators, but I don't want to also present and take a moment of that meeting's time so we're presenting right now. to at least, so at least you have a general idea of the changes we're making, and then we'll be sending out this presentation in the all USG email on Monday so that everyone else has a chance to look at it and come prepared on the meeting on the 4th with any other questions. So, most of the changes that we made are rollover from the fall election cycle. The 2 election cycles are very similar, but they're different enough that they have to have 2 different or 2 different election rules documents, one for the fall, one for the spring, but many of the changes from one to semester to the next can be transferred over. Not all of them, but a lot of them. I'll try to mention when these are just changes that have been transferred over from the fall, because those changes for you and the organization have already voted on to approve for the fall semester. You might not need to think is critically about those unless something bad happened and you wanted to change that. But, There are no changes to the 1st section. I will also mention, um, 2 more things. This presentation is a little bit different than the one that was sent out in the Senate email because I, one slide just had incorrect information on it and a couple other slides I moved around to be a little bit easier to present. And the amended election rules document that was sent out in the Senate email. You can see all of these red line changes if you download the document. But if you just open it in your email, it'll just look like all the changes have been accepted. So if you want to follow along, you can download that document. I definitely encourage reading through it on your own, but continuing on. That 1st section, section one, there isn't a slide for because it's the establishment. It says elections have to happen according to the Constitution. If that ever

changes, you should fire your elections board. But section 2 is just elections, it talks about, what happens in the election cycle, that first change is just adding the word semester after the word spring, because spring what? We hold general elections in the spring, spring, solstice? What are we talking about? But section 2 may, this is a larger change. We removed the listing of the specific senators that are elected in the spring. and just had any other senator positions as required. That is because last year we passed the election rules in the fall, then over winter break with the bylaw amendments, we add into the residence life senator, so the residence life senator was not listed as a senator that we were electing in the spring election cycle, so we have to do this whole thing to fix that. So we're just making it easier by saying any senators, not listing them specifically. And then section B, you do not get sworn in at the next regular Senate meeting after the election cycle. That would be the week after spring break. If you are elected in the spring, you get sworn in at the last meeting. So making sure that is just correct. Um, continuing with section two, these are some of the larger changes. We defined when the election rules is brought to previously. It was a little bit vague. Each just in each election cycle, we will bring forth the election rules. We spent an hour and a half talking about what an election cycle is. So we'll be getting to that. We did define that we bring forth the rules at the end of the fall and spring semesters. We specified when they go into effect, which is just once passed in accordance with the USG bylaws. And then, uh, we talked about when the election cycle actually starts, starts when the application opens, concludes with the final closure of voting, the work final there is just included in the event that we have a runoff election and have to reopen voting. We don't want the election cycle to have technically ended the 1st time voting closes. And then that last section, if we have defined when an election cycle starts and ends, we still need to be able to work on the elections before the election cycle starts, but as we'll talk about in a second, the elections board

only exists during an election cycle. So we are allowing the judicial branch to work on some elections tasks prior to the beginning of the election cycle in place of the elections board. Before I keep going, does everybody understand? Probably not, but I'm gonna ask any questions. Does everybody understand the relationship between the elections board and the judicial branch? No, we got at least one no. So during an election, oh, wait, actually, we talk about it. There it is. Actually, it's the next slide. The elections board, including the elections chair, will... Guys, okay. It shows up eventually, but I'll talk about it right now, and then we'll just review it again. During an election cycle, the judicial branch becomes the elections board. We are both at the same time. How does that work? I don't know, but we also have a couple additional numbers that we'll talk about from the executive branch that are non voting members, but we continue being in the judicial branch and do all that you do for branch things, but we also become the elections board and do all the elections things. But you can't, likely... There are some things the judicial branch can't do that the elections board can do, so we had to make sure that we had that separation that I just talked about. Moving on from that section, that's just the elections, sections three talks about what the elections board is. Great start. So we only meet during the election cycle. So that's why we had to allow the judicial ranch to do work outside of the election cycle, and then we talked about the requirements of these elections share, which is just the chief justice, unless something bad happens. And this section isn't new. It was moved up from lower in this section because we felt it made more sense here. This is a very old section talking about who the chair is, how you remove the chair, um, and then the which chairs are non voting member of the elections board. That is something we added. Um, but they don't know. Okay. I didn't change the descriptions here. I think this is just the same information again. So I apologize for that. Moving on. All other members of the judicial branch are voting members of the elections board, so just if we define

that the elections chair is a non voting member, we have to define who are voting members. So it's every other member of the elections, or from the judicial branch. And then this big section here is how and why you can remove members from the elections board, specific responsibilities of those members, which are all just from the fall. These are not new changes, these are changes we pulled from the following election. It's condensing of a lot of information that was already there. Continuing on with that, as I mentioned, the elections board will include 2 non voting members from the executive branch. These are the social media liaison and the event liaison. Those positions just exist to help us in event planning and obviously marketing, because they are a little bit more experienced and connected in those areas. It is the chief justice responsibility to outreach for those, and then we list their responsibilities and limitations of those liaisons, which is essentially they have the exclusive responsibility for social media posts, event space reservation, they have to adhere to the same responsibilities like other members of the elections board. Once again, these are changes pulled over from the fall election cycles, or election cycle. And a lot of this is just condensation of unnecessary language talking about the liaisons. It was like, this is why we needed a liaison. We don't need to justify that. In the election rules, you can ask me if you need justification for why these things exist. The electric rolls, especially because it's primarily read by members who are not in USG needs to be as simple as possible. If you have any questions at any point, please feel free to ask, this is gonna be a lot of information. There will be time for questions at the end, but if you have a question immediately, please ask. Section 4 talks about how the application works, what we need to do specifically with the application. The only changes would be better, always change. We change the word at candidate to applicant, because how are you being considered a candidate if you're already a candidate? That doesn't make sense. You have to be an applicant first. Section 5 talks about what a candidate is.

Different section in the application. Wow. We moved the limitations of candidates lower to keep the membership requirement information in this section together, and then added specific membership requirements outlined in the Constitution. So previously, it just said, candidates must be in good standing. and have completed the required hazing training. We added the section, that's a little bit different from our own constitution that has a couple of additional requirements for members, such as the GPA requirement and the minimum credit requirement. Um, Yeah. So, FII just down here is removing the word appointment. Um, so if no one runs for a senator position, the senator can fill a vacancy calling the appropriate appointment procedures, but the senate chair is also free at any point to call a special election. and just say, I'm going to elect someone now, judicial branch, go do things. Um, which would be very, very stressful for me, and I hope that never happens. But that 2nd section, then the removal of the word common, is just that's not the bylaws notation we use anymore. We just call it the USG bylaws. They were the USG common bylaws back when each section was its own document. The common bylaws was its own document, and then each branch, bylaws, where they're own document. Now we do it all in one. So correcting that. This just didn't make sense both the undergraduate student president, I don't know really what that means. And then changing the word expected down here to require, the president and vice president candidates are required to maintain their respective presidential positions to the fall and spring semesters. That's in our other governing documents, you have to intend to fulfill the entire 10 year of office, especially if you're president and vice president. If you apply, speaking as the elections chair, if you apply to the candidate for president and vice president, and I find out that you're going to graduate at the end of the fall semester, I will not accept your application, and I will be very upset. Um, but early on, I think the last section, um, for candidates, but we moved all of these restrictions up into section three, the

elections board section. So we have the language specifying that members of the election's board cannot be candidates in the section talking about members of the elections board, not on the section talking about candidates. This is also a new thing. So not many of you have experienced a spring election cycle. It's different from the fall election cycle. One of the bigger changes is elections petitions. So in the fall, you just had to apply for candidacy, and then once we verified that you were in good standing, you became a candidate. In the spring, you apply for candidacy, and then you have to get a minimum amount of petitions, which are just individual signatures of students at the university that just write their name, put down their student ID number, and essentially, they're saying, I want this person to be a candidate. So, does everyone understand what petitions are, how those work? All candidates are given a unique Microsoft form that they just go around and saying, please fill out my petition. Yes.

Senator Simas: Um, in section 6B, it says compile a list of 200 and that says 225. The numeral and the written do not align.

Chief Justice Stillion: Oh, so that's amazing. Thank you for pointing that out. So, that's why that's another reason why we're not voting on them right now because that change, that can be made before we go vote on that.

Senator Spears: Why did you increase?

Chief Justice Stillion: I'm just about to talk about that. Thank you. I have other questions though. Okay. So, we are increasing for proposing to increase the minimum amount of petitions for both presidential and vice presidential candidates, and for senator candidates, like 25 each. 200 to 225 for the president, vice president candidates, and from 75 to 100 for the senator candidates. There are a couple reasons for this. One, we'll get to a little bit later, is another change we've made to the election rules, but I will talk about it right now. During the election cycle, we've have a

dedicated time for meat ingredients, which are usually like 2 hour events that we as the elections were put on to allow candidates to directly campaign to the student body. Usually, they're tabling events that happen downstairs in the student union during the time when it's busiest just to provide an opportunity for people to campaign. We are still doing those, but we are moving them. So previously, they happened after the petition, period, closed, when everyone was already officially a candidate before voting on it. We are moving meet and greetings to happen while petitioning is still open. So there'll be a week of time where we'll have an event each day that candidates can sign up for to directly. It's not technically campaigning, because they're not candidates, but I'm gonna call it campaigning for ease. Um, where they will, so they will, these... Sorry, I lost my train of thought by trying to simplify. These meet and greets during petitioning, we feel is going to make it a lot easier for candidates to get their required amount of petitions. Um, in my experience, um, this would be, this would be my 4th elect spring election cycle. All candidates, almost all candidates, I won't say all of them, almost all candidates, or applicants getting petitions, wait until the last couple days to get any of their petition signatures. It is very common on the last day that petitions are open to have a bunch of people downstairs in the student union going from table to table with their phones out saying, Please sign my petition. Um, That's not an effective way, I feel, to outreach, to students, to let them know that you want to represent them. It's also unnecessarily stressful for candidates. And once again, I feel like that's not an effective way to average to the student body. So we're going to try to move a lot of that effort to earlier in the petition period at the meet and greets. So we're providing an avenue for candidates to get these petitions in a way that they haven't before. So we feel that it makes sense to raise them for providing that avenue, but also, um, I feel that the, this number hasn't been raised in a very long time, and I know our, um, student body population has fluctuated, but

with its increases, this year, we also, we felt that that would also be a understandable increase.

I'm sure there's one other reason, but I don't remember right now, but please.

Senator Spears: Yeah, the number of students increased at UA, but has the judicial branch look at the number of people attending a USG event? Did that number increase?

Chief Justice Stillion: We have not looked at that number, but, um, specifically, I think the amount of people attending a USG event, um, is not as impactful in terms of getting petitions, because it shouldn't be every, it shouldn't, you shouldn't be just representing people at USG events. We're trying to make it easier for you to get the people that are attending USG events, but that should not be the primary proof of people that candidates are pulling from for because we do not unfortunately connect with a large amount of the student body at our events. Yes.

President Shaw: Um, sorry, just to clarify. You can't campaign at USG events. These are at meet and greet events, correct?

Chief Justice Stillion: Yeah, that's a good point. The meet and greets counting. I think you met the meat and greets at USG events. Yes.

Senator Simas: In section 6E, between paint and consistency, shouldn't be result in disqualification for that applicant's ticket.

Chief Justice Stillion: Yes, it is. All right. Thank you. We, as you can see, caught most of the words, most of the applicants are most of the candles changed in applicants, but not all of them.

Are there other questions about raising petitions? Any other thoughts? Okay. Moving on, then.

Candidates meeting. No changes. Um, elections, events. A lot of what, uh, we are changing here, I have already talked about in terms of changing the, um, meet and greets. So previously, we had a maximum of four possible meet and greets. We increased that to a maximum of eight. Four will occur while petitioning is open, and four will occur while voting is open. So similar to our

rationale for, um, moving to meet and greets to while petitioning is opened, as I mentioned, that is the time when applicants are most typically, um, most aggressively campaigning, we'll say, because they just need to hit that minimum number of signatures. And then during the actual campaign period, if you are running uncontested, you have gotten all your signatures, you are officially a candidate, you are running uncontested, you need one vote to get your position. So, something that I've seen, time and time again, is uncontested races after they get all their petition counts. Um, will then not do anything for the rest of the election cycle, because they don't have to. They can vote for themselves, and they win. Once again, that is not a good way to be a representative of the student body. The outreach to the student body, regardless of if you are going to be freely in your position or not. So, that's part of a reason why we moved the candidate meet and greets to when voting is open, to try to encourage people to actually get votes while voting is open, they will have an opportunity to just, as people are walking by, hey, check your email. you want to vote for me. you can vote for me. So we will have four during voting. We continued. This is a language that is pulled over, but changed a little bit to make it in line with the additional meat and greet, but you can only attend one meat and greet while petitioning is open, only one while voting is open. If you can't attend in person, for either of those, you can have your material, your campaign material displayed in your absence at only one date in each of those blocks. Questions. Yes.

Senator Shelton: Um, so I'm seeing a lot events changed, has the funding changed, changed for, like, the amount of money you get for elections? Because I know that we usually have, like, zippy cookies or just sort of refreshments.

Chief Justice Stillion: Our budget has not increased this year. Um, but that was determined um, before we amended before we made these changes. From what I'm remembering, we should still

be okay based on we spent a little bit less in the fall election cycle, so we should have enough to be able to fund both of these. But, um, also, I know the president. So I'm hoping if we do run out of money, I can get my a request approved out of other funding. But I don't think that will happen. Um, I'm fairly certain that we will not run out of out of money. Any other questions?

Campaign rules and guidelines, we removed a redundant section, talking about promoting candidacy, it was in that section 9 there. Campaigning is defined as any activity for money and candidacy, and then it ended with, and otherwise promoting a person's candidacy. So we don't need to say that twice. And then we removed a section talking about the pre election phase, because, as I mentioned, a while ago, we clarified when the election cycle starts, when the electric cycle ends, this was an older attempt to do that that was bad, and I didn't like it. But that's okay. Campaign materials, the picture of the amendment is on the next page for this one because there's a lot of them and they're not super crazy. We included UA copyrighted pictures as prohibited campaign material. That's a change from the fall. We removed an unnecessary line about confidentiality, confidentiality of the voter process, correct a general spelling and grammar, in that section, added otherwise unable to display the disclaimer to the requirements for campaign material, campaign material, normally needs to have a disclaimer saying, this is not endorsed by the university of Akron. I'm not representing the university. Im a candidate. And we have cutouts. If things are too small to display that, that's fine. But if they're otherwise unable to display this claimer, we added that line. It's only like a hat. You don't have to put a disclaimer on it. you know? But that's also a change from the fall, and then we added, as outlined, section 15 of this document, point sections in the correct section. Right here. Because it talks about, everywhere else it talks about sanctions, it says, I sound like it's section 15. So we just mirrored that language, and then we got rid of the the chair. I feel like that was the story to plan.

Campaign staff changes. Once again, we remove the elections board requirements, and move them up in this section talking about the elections board. Section 12 talks about support, supported just anybody saying, I think you're cool as a candidate, and maybe I'll tell my friends about you. We change the language regarding supporters endangering the election down there. If they endangered the integrity of the election, they will be banned. That's language from the fall and then move the elections board section to the election board section. Endorsements, that should be section 13, not section 123. We don't have that many. But we added TikTok and changed X to Twitter, when we're talking about social media, to be representative of people. Changes in the world. And then we mirrored language talking about endorsers and endangering the integrity of the election, similar to the section, about support. voting. sanctioning responsibilities from the elections board to the judicial branch. I wish the changes were on this slide, so we're gonna be jumping back and forth a little bit, because it's easier to understand that way. So, previously, the elections board adjudicated sanctions. That's never been true, according to our other governing documents, the election court has no judiciary power. It's a board. Um, so the judicial branch is doing all of that, because that's correct, and then we added the language from the fall. This is actually... I don't know why this wasn't here before, because this is language that should have been there last spring, but it's language that we had in the fall. About what to do, if there's a tie. Thank you, Senator Stearns. Um... And then section 15, reminding myself, is similar changes. Uh, changing, uh, the election sport, you do to the judicial branch for the application of the sanctions. Elections grievances are not filed with the chair, which has no judiciary power. They are filed with the Chief Justice. Um, I know you're like, Isn't the Chief Justice the chair? There's a world in which the Chief Justice is not the chair, so we need to clarify. And then this section down here, in the instance, the executive justice can't fulfill the

duty of presiding over the hearing, the senior most associate justice will take on that duty. We found out that that's against the Constitution. It specifically says in the Constitution, only the chief or executive justice can preside over hearings. So if something bad happens, you can't fire me. What's up?

Senator Moore: What were you just reading? 'Cause you said that really, really fast.

Chief Justice Stillion: Sorry.

Senator Moore: Thanks, I just had no idea what you were.

Chief Justice Stillion: In the instance that the executive justice can't fulfill the duty...

Senator Moore: I can see now. Thanks. I just didn't know what you were saying.

Chief Justice Stillion: Um... Okay. That's everything. Some bigger changes in there. Are there any more questions? Please think about these things. Um, before we hold on them, uh, on the fourth. You look like you have a question.

Senator Simas: Yeah. Are you able to return to the previous thing?

Chief Justice Stillion: Of course.

Senator Simas: And so, Section 14, uh, looks like eight. Does that indent need to be there?

Chief Justice Stillion: No, it doesn't. But that's one of those evil things in Microsoft Word that are the reason I rerrupt the bylaws. So it's hard to get rid of. But I will try. You should, you should liase. You should come over and consult sometimes in the judicial branch. What's up?

Senator Simas: One more thing. Are you able to go back to, uh, the slide with section 3A 2A? I was curious about some wording because, um, I guess up top there it says by 2/3rd simple majority, and then, I guess, another consistency thing. It says just 2 out of 3 simple majority. That's that's just a consistency thing we can fix. There's no specific reason.

Senator Simas: I'm not trying to be a jerk here.

Chief Justice Stillion: No, okay. This is this is our favorite part. We spent three hours doing this.

So you are great. What's up?

Senator Simas: Does the word simple have to be there?

Chief Justice Stillion: Technically, because our Constitution defines majorities. So we have to say it's a simple majority, but maybe not. I don't know. What's up?

Senator Sterns: Um, what two thirds majority would be on the elections? That's such a good...

It's, like, four. So...

Senator Sterns: So, like, it have to be everyone else but you?

Chief Justice Stillion: I'm not a voting member, so we have 6 voting members.

Senator Sterns: Oh, okay.

Chief Justice Stillion: So, we've also found out a two-thirds majority is the exact same as the three-fourths majority in the judicial branch. Four. So, we could just say majority and it'd mean the same thing. Um, honestly, I didn't look, we didn't look too in-depth on this section because it was, it's pulled from previous years. Um, but yeah, cool. Any other questions? Okay. If you have any questions before our meeting on the fourth? Please ask. Love to explain things. But if not, have fun with your legislation.

Senate Chair Kasik: Thank you Chief Justice Stillion. We are now going to move onto Senate Resolution 03-25-26.

- SR 03-25-26

Map Accessibility

Vice Senate Chair McCracken recites the rationale for SR 03-25-26

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Sterns.

Senator Sterns: Motion to open debate.

Senator Shelton: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of entering debate, please say aye.

Motion to enter debate passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Sterns.

Senator Sterns: Uh, I don't believe it says anywhere what PFOC stands for.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Spears.

Senator Spears: Motion to amend SR 03-25-26 to include what PFOC stands for.

Senate Chair Kasik: Uh, Second?

Senator Kleinschmidt: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of amending the SR 03-25-26 to include the PFOC name, please say aye.

Motion to amend SR 03-25-26 to include what PFOC stands for passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Is there any other? Yes, Senator Shelton.

Senator Shelton: I'll speak on the behalf of the bill real quick.

Or resolution. Um, it's just important for us to serve all members of the community. I've said it before, I'll say it again. We just need to make sure that everyone has, like, independence on campus and that we're serving everyone with the best of our abilities. And this is, like, it'll be a larger change out of our hands, but I think that it's important that it comes from our, like, our mouth collectively. like our voice, because how else would they know? Like, it's not like they see it every day. And meeting with, um, director DeFago. was really enlightening Im some of the topics and challenges that individuals make based around campus in regards to navigation. Like, I wouldn't think that ZAB Fest in the Coleman Commons would cause someone to have like a panic attack if they were walking through. And I was like, oh, that makes a lot of sense if you have like an anxiety disorder like that, disorder like that, I cannot speak today. I apologize. Um, Or

if, like, you're blind and you're walking through Coleman Commons, you weren't walking anywhere because there is no Coleman, not Coleman Commons. Buchtel Commons, there is no Buchtel Commons. So that's that's my piece. Thank you.

Senate Chair Kasik: Okay. Anybody else? Senator Prough.

Senator Prough: Um, as a co signer of this bill, I will speak from personal experience. Um, I can comfortably say that I did not know the entire campus layout until about the 3rd year being here. The campus layout can be confusing, especially for freshmen, and it just compounds when you're also having to deal with any physical or mental disabilities on top of that. So I think that a feature like this would be of great use to the student body beyond just, um, anyone who, uh, is dealing with a disability of any kind, I think you would just, any other student could benefit from it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Shelton.

Senator Shelton: I actually forgot to mention, a lot of people don't know about the interior routes we have right now, so that's, like, if the walkway between ASEC and the dorm, like, the primary dorms on campus, were to get iced out after a ice storm. Like, the way through crouse via the elevator is not well known, as, um, What is that path, like the bridge over the train tracks? Like it's indoor. What is that building called?

Advisor Ferguson-Mike: Buckingham.

Senator Shelton: Yes, the Buckingham Center for Learning. Like a lot of people do not know about that until fairly late in their academic career here. I sure didn't. So I was taking the outdoor way for a hot second. Um, and it was cold. But I couldn't imagine taking that university bridge or any other way with a mobility, mobility aid or if I was blind. Um, so yeah. It's just those are important ways to map out. And if you're like cutting through the Rec, for example, to get to

Spicer, like that cuts off a significant amount of time, but a lot of people don't know about it. So it's just... the little things. That's all.

Senate Chair Kasik: Okay. Thank you. Senator Simas.

Senator Simas: Motion to amend the resolution to list physical facilities operation center before the acronym, and, uh, capitalizing it to match the formatting.

Senator Shelton: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of the amendment, please say aye.

Motion to amend the resolution to list physical facilities operation center before the acronym, and, uh, capitalizing it to match the formatting passed: The ayes have it.

Senator Shelton: He was, like, putting it on caps locks.

Chief Justice Stillion: Point of order.

Senate Chair Kasik: Do I retype the entire thing or is there a way I can just...

Senator Sterns: Motion to put a space after PFOC.

Senator Spears: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of putting a space after PFOC, please say aye.

Motion to put a space after PFOC passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Prough.

Senator Prough: Motion to end debate and move to previous question.

Senator Shelton: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of ending debate and moving to the previous question, please say aye.

Chief Justice Stillion: Point of order. Can't move to the previous question unless it's in response to the vote, you still have to do a second reading.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Spears.

Senator Spears: Motion to wave the second reading SR 03-25-26.

Senator Shelton: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of waving the second reading of SR 03-25-26, please say aye.

Motion to wave the second reading SR 03-25-26 passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Prough.

Senator Prough: Motion to end debate and move to previous question.

Senator Spears: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of ending debate and moving to previous questions, please say aye.

Motion to end debate and move to previous question passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: We will start the roll call. Uh, your options are in favor, oppose, and abstain. .

Vice Senate Chair McCracken calls the roll:

Senator Blankenship: In Favor

Senator Gnap: In Favor

Senator Kleinschmidt: In Favor

Senator Messmer: In Favor

Senator Moore: In Favor

Senator Pence: In Favor

Senator Prough: In Favor

Senator Shelton: In Favor

Senator Simas: In Favor

Senator Sonntag: In Favor

Senator Spears: In Favor

Senator Sterns: In Favor

Senator Swaminathan: In Favor

SR 03-25-26 has passed: All in favor.

Senate Chair Kasik: That being unanimous the resolution has passed... All right, we'll now be moving on to SR 04-25-26

- SR 04-25-26

Updated Campus Signs

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Spears.

Senator Spears: Motion to wave the reading of the supporting appendices for SR 04-25-26. It's the exact same one as the previous one.

Senate Chair Kasik: Is there a second?

Senator Shelton: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those it's a favor of waving the reading of the appendices for this resolution, please say aye.

Motion to wave the reading of the supporting appendices for SR 04-25-26 passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Yes.

Chief Justice Stillion: I don't know if you can do that. I am assuming you can't. But I'm going to look, I think it's fine for now. But I'm going to research it. And if you can't, you will do it again.

So for now, I just wanted to so you're all aware that I don't know.

Vice Senate Chair McCracken recites the rationale for SR 04-25-26

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Shelton.

Senator Shelton: Motion to enter debate.

Senator Spears: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of entering into debate, please say aye.

Motion to enter debate passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Would be sponsors of the bill, the resolution, like to speak on behalf?

Senator Shelton.

Senator Shelton: Um, I feel like the bill kind of speaks for itself. To go back to my earlier statement, it's important, though. We just kind of represent everybody. And, you know, especially those 1st couple weeks, it's really easy to get lost. And if there were to ever be an emergency on campus with a guest event, they don't know where Kolbe Hall is. And let's say something were to have and they'd be like, where am I? Where do I need an ambulance? Where do I need the police or something. So I think it'd be useful for that. And, like, it goes along with the, um, map. resolution, I think, very well because it does focus so much on accessibility and signage, and like, it's, it's really nice if it works well. get more, give us more things to X out with Kent. Just an idea for the wagon wheel, cover Kobe with sticky notes out on both sides. If we needed another reason. So that's all. Thank you.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Spears.

Senator Spears: Motion to wave the second reading.

Senator Shelton: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of waving the second reading please say aye.

Motion to wave the second reading passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Char Kasik: Senator Moore.

Senator Moore: Motion to close debate and move to previous question.

Senator Prough: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of closing the debate and moving to the previous question, please say aye.

Motion to close debate and move to previous question passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Your options are in favor, oppose, or abstain.

Vice Senate Chair McCracken calls the roll

Senator Blankenship: In Favor

Senator Gnap: In Favor

Senator Kleinschmidt: In Favor

Senator Messmer: In Favor

Senator Moore: In Favor

Senator Pence: In Favor

Senator Prough: In Favor

Senator Shelton: In Favor

Senator Simas: In Favor

Senator Sonntag: In Favor

Senator Spears: In Favor

Senator Sterns: In Favor

Senator Swaminathan: In Favor

SR 04-25-26 has passed: All in favor.

Senate Chair Kasik: The vote is unanimous, the resolution passes... We will now be moving onto SR 05-25-26.

Vice Senate Chair McCracken recited the rationale for SR 05-25-26.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Spears.

Senator Spears: Motion to amend the resolution from town gown to put down.

Senator Shelton: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of amending the resolution, please say aye.

Motion to amend the resolution from town gown to put down passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Prough.

Senator Prough: Motion to amend the resolution to correct the sponsors listed to be Shelton, McCracken, and Prough. Scroll down.

Senate Chair Kasik: Wait, what?

Senator Prough: Like in the document it says my name.

Senate Chair Kasik: Yeah, we fixed that with that earlier amendment.. Senator Simas.

Senator Simas: Motion to amend the agenda to remove the hyphen... Oh, sorry, the resolution to amend... Uh, to remove the hyphen in downtown.

Senator Spears: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor.

Motion to amend the resolution passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Uh... Great. All right, there, it's fixed. Uh, would the sponsors of the bill like to speak on behalf of this resolution? Senator Shelton.

Senator Shelton: Um, so, if you've talked to me at any time within the office, within the recent months, you've most likely heard my spiel on this. Nobody owns this bridge. Nobody wants to own this bridge. But due to whatever reason, it is not really safe at the moment to cross, there is

rebarb sticking out of it. It's not really, really great, guys, and it's kind of ugly. If I may, these are not the most recent photos they have indeed gotten worse, and they're currently filled with leaves and water. Sometimes ice just, depending on what time you cross, but yeah. And that's not really safe. I think we can all agree on that. Nor is it aesthetically pleasing. So I think that we should maybe start a constructive dialogue to fix it, maybe. I know this is far above our heads. since nobody does want to claim ownership of it. But, you know, maybe something will come of it. All we can do is try our best.

Vice Senate Chair McCracken: To provide some context, a senator tried to pursue this 2 years ago. There's a very lengthy email chain where the university and the city just argued back and forth and it's never fixed. So that is the reason why it's as a resolution, um, because they can't seem to decide what. That's they can say no one owns it. So I think it's important that this is a resolution because it is a very major safety concern and it's hard to walk across that bridge if anyone's walked across it without running into one of these holes. So I urge you to vote in favor.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Prough.

Senator Prough: As someone who attended agro college high school, um, coming from Polski, that bridge is one of the few ways to get to the rest of campus quickly and without much problem. And during the winter, it is terrible. If you decide to have bad luck that day and take the bridge instead of taking the Buckingham Walkway, York practically slipping the entire way. There's no in between. You're not, either you're sturdy or you're just on the ground. I can attest. I have slipped on that bridge at least 5 times in my 4 years of going there. Beyond just, as the bill mentions, just beyond the university, accurate residents use that bridge as well. It is an important crossway between everything, and it should be, it should be repaired with some level of

collaboration between the two people who don't want to own the bridge. Um, I guess the two, uh, organizations that you're not wanting to own the bridge, still collaborate.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Simas.

Senator Simas: I would like to speak in favor of this resolution, because I think it is ridiculous that the city and the university cannot agree. I think this resolution will be a great way to hold both parties accountable.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Shelton.

Senator Shelton: Motion to wave the second reading.

Senator Spears: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of waving the second reading, please say aye.

Motion to wave the second reading passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Yes.

Chief Justice Stillion: Um... The A clause. encourage collaboration between and maintenance are spelled incorrectly. If we amend them after waving the 2nd reading, we will have to do an entire reading and a 2nd reading again. 2 more readings because the legislation has changed now. So, we can use a motion to rescind a motion. by motion. Uh, second, third second.

Senator Shelton: Rescind my motion.

Senator Spears: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of rescinding the motion, please say aye.

Motion to rescind original motion passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Prough.

Senator Prough: Motion to amend the resolution to correct the spelling of maintenance.

Senator Spears: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of correcting the spelling of maintenance on the resolution, please say aye.

Senator Sterns: Um, motion to change colorboration to collaboration.

Chief Justice Stillion: Point of privilege. Can we do all of these amendments in one motion?

Senator Spears: Uh... Oh, motion to change collaboration and go build team to be correctly spelled. And, and, and, and, and, and...

Senator Spears: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of editing the spelling of this part of the resolution. All of those things. Please say aye.

Senator Shelton: Point of privilege. I would just like to say this is after grammarly. I'm really sorry.

Senate Chair Kasik: My spelling's also not great, so... One at a time please... Yes, President Shaw.

President Shaw: So, collaboration is spelled C, O, L, L, A, B, O, R, A, T, I, O, N.

Senate Chair Kasik: Thank you. Yes.

President Shaw: Do you need any other spellings while I'm here?

Senate Chair Kasik: Yep, yep. We go to professionals for this reason. All right. Does that look...

All right, all right, all right... Senator Spears.

Senator Spears: Motion to wave the second reading.

Senator Shelton: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of waving the second reading, please say aye.

Motion to wave the second reading passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Sterns.

Senator Sterns: Motion to close debate and move to previous question.

Senator Spears: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of closing debate and moving to previous question, please say aye.

Motion to close debate passed: The ayes have it.

Vice Senate Chair McCracken calls the role

Senator Blankenship: In Favor

Senator Gnap: In Favor

Senator Kleinschmidt: In Favor

Senator Messmer: In Favor

Senator Moore: In Favor

Senator Pence: In Favor

Senator Prough: In Favor

Senator Shelton: In Favor

Senator Simas: In Favor

Senator Sonntag: In Favor

Senator Spears: In Favor

Senator Sterns: In Favor

Senator Swaminathan: In Favor

SR 05-25-26 has passed: All in favor.

Senate Chair Kasik: All right, the vote passes in favor. We will now move onto Senate Resolution 06-25-26.

Vice Senate Chair McCracken recites the rationale for SR 06-25-26

Senate Chair Kasik: Vice Senate Chair McCracken.

Vice Senate Chair McCracken: Um, motion to amend the resolution, uh, in two places, in the second paragraph of the rationale it says SWRC not SRWC. Additionally, the 2nd to last whereas clause doesn't have a and at the end. The semicolon and then and.

Senator Shelton: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of amending the resolution, please say aye.

Motion to amend resolution passed: The ayes have it.

Chief Justice Stillion: Point of order. You did not accurately reflect the motion made with semicolon and.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Sterns.

Senator Sterns: Motion to amend the resolution in the third to last, whereas clause to change from water filler station to water bottle filler station.

Senator Spears: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of amending the resolution, please say aye.

Motion to amend the resolution passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Swaminathan.

Senator Swaminathan: Motion to amend environmentally to be spelled correctly.

Senator Spears: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of correctly spelling environmentally, please say aye.

Motion to amend environmentally to be spelled correctly passed: The ayes have it.

Vice Senate Chair McCracken: Point of information for Senator Swaminathan.

Senator Swaminathan: I accept.

Vice Senate Chair McCracken: Hi, so. Um, did you mean, does it matter if it says student wellness and recreational center versus student recreational and wellness center? Like just the order matter.

Senator Swaminathan: For me personally, it doesn't matter, but if it needs to be changed, they can be.

Senate Chair Kasik: Vice Senate Chair McCracken.

Vice Senate Chair McCracken: Motion to amend the resolution to to change anywhere where it says student recreation and wellness, or student wellness and recreation center, to student recreation and wellness center.

Senator Shelton: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of that resolution amendment, please say aye.

Motion to amend resolution passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Yes, President Shaw?

President Shaw: Okay, um... Uh, can you please go down to the bottom of this main portion?

Can you scroll down to the whereas clauses; that page? I don't know what because of the motion.

Here, go up a little bit. Up more. Okay, never mind..

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Prough.

Senator Prough: Motion to move to debate.

Senator Moore: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of moving to debate please say aye.

Motion to move to debate passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Thank you. Uh, would, uh, sponsors of the resolution like to speak on behalf?

Senator Swaminathan: I'd like to think that student well being is a vital pillar, especially for the university and its mission and vision. This resolution, I believe, supports this pillar accordingly by providing vital resources to all students, no matter if they are attending recreational facilities, or going to the student clinic, or obtaining resources from the Hope and Healing Clinic.

Moreover, this resolution not only gives back to the students, but also the entire acting community, because it's not just students who utilize this facility, but also a large part of the acting community, whether it be from children to the elderly. Essentially, I strongly encourage my fellow senators to vote in favor of this legislation. The zips for sips proposal, um, allowing in an adoptive water fountain on the 1st floor, and also, I'm very sorry to discuss.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Shelton.

Senator Shelton: Um, as someone who works there, and having water is kind of nice. Um, I would just like to have that personally for me, but there are a lot of elderly, um, community members who come in and use the facility and we have lots of sports events and, you know, you lose water, you need to regain water when you're working out. So, So having water would be really nice. It gets really hot in the pool guys.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Sterns.

Senator Sterns: In the first whereas clause, I think that word is misspelled with one T in the middle... Okay, uh motion to change that.

Senator Swaminathan: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of fixing the spelling please say aye.

Motion to fix spelling in resolution passed: The ayes have it.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Kleinschmidt.

Senator Kleinschmidt: Um, I usually, if I remember to carry my water bottle around, I'm usually looking for a water fountain to fill it up at, and my criteria for that is have a water bottle filler and a clean filter, and it's surprisingly difficult to find something that fits those requirements on campus, which is why I make cosigner of this bill, and I urge you to vote in favor.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Prough.

Senator Prough: Motion to wave a second reading of the resolution.

Senator Spears: Secomd.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of waving the second reading of the resolution, please say aye.

Motion to wave the second reading of the resolution passed: The ayes have it.

Executive Justice Reimer: I have a request for information for Senator Swaminathan. Which water fountain is this?

Senator Swaminathan: it is the fountain, when you walk right in, there's massage chairs, and there's 2 bathrooms. So the people who use the clinic, especially, so the campus clinic, and then, like, the hope and healing center, they they use that.

Executive Justice Reimer: I just, is that the 1st floor or would that be like what it would be before?

Senator Swaminathan: When you enter, that would technically be the 2nd floor, technically, when you walked right in, it's right near when you scan your ZIP Card.

Senate chair Kasik: Senator Sterns.

Senator Sterns: Request for information from Senator Swaminathan.

Senator Swaminathan: I accept.

Senator Sterns: I think it says 1st floor in the bill or the resolution?

Senator Swaminathan: So it's 1st floor in the facility. But when you explain it to other people, I said 2nd floor. But it's the actual facilities 1st floor. if that makes sense. So when I was explaining it to someone else, I said, 2nd floor, technically, speaking.

Chief of Staff Kelly: Point of clarification. So on the building plan it says the 1st floor but when you think about it, it's really on the second floor.

Senator Spears: Olay.

Senate Chair Kasik: Senator Prough.

Senator Prough: Motion to end debate and move to previous question.

Senator Shelton: Second.

Senate Chair Kasik: All those in favor of ending debate and moving to the previous question, please say aye.

Motion to end debate and move to the previous question passed: The ayes have it.

Vice Senate Chair McCracken calls the roll

Senator Blankenship: In Favor

Senator Gnap: In Favor

Senator Kleinschmidt: In Favor

Senator Messmer: In Favor

Senator Moore: In Favor

Senator Pence: In Favor

Senator Prough: In Favor

Senator Shelton: In Favor

Senator Simas: In Favor

Senator Sonntog: In Favor

Senator Spears: In Favor

Senator Sterns: In Favor

Senator Swaminathan: In Favor

SR 06-25-26 has passed: All in favor.

Senate Chair Kasik: Congratulations. So, I think we're done.

IX. **Adjournment**

- Robsgiving